

THE EARLY HISTORY OF DIGITALIS¹

A. JOHN SCHWARZ

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF BOTANY AND PHARMACOGNOSY
UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, MEMPHIS

Digitalis, which at present is looked upon as one of the most important vegetable drugs—the most important in the group of cardiac drugs—does not enjoy the reputation of being one of the oldest drugs. It was not known to the ancients; at least there seems to be no record. The rhizotomists and herbalists such as Theophrastus (370-285 B. C.), Dioscorides (40-90 A. D.), and others of the period which marked the beginning of the Christian era, did not know the plant; there have been several attempts to establish relationship between the “foxes glofe” of the fifteenth century and plants described by the earlier herbalists, but the differences have always been too great for such a conclusion to be accepted.²

“Foxes Glofe” occurs in the Saxon Herbarium of 1000 A. D. It was known to the Welsh physicians—the Physicians of Myddrai—as early as 1233 A. D., who recommended its use as a decoction and as an ointment for an external remedy. In the 14th century it is encountered under the name of “Cerotheca vulpis” in a manuscript entitled “Sinonama Bartholimei.” The literal translation for this name, fox’s hand case, recalls in part the German name “hand schuh.”³

The name “digitalis” was coined by Leonard Fuchs, a Bavarian physician and botanist, who was more celebrated as a botanist than as a physician. In looking about for a Latin title, he was unable to find one, so he coined the name digitalis⁴ by translating the German name “Fingerhuth” into Latin with a slight modification, and called the plant *Digitalis purpurea*, which he described in his *De Historia Stirpium* of 1542.⁵ This description carries a block print with it as well as block prints of a few other species of Digitalis. In speaking of its use, Fuchs says that it may be used like gentian. About this same time, in 1552, Tragus (as Hieronymus Bock was known in literature) published his *De Historia Stirpium* which carried a description as well as a figure of Digitalis. Tragus has been given credit by some as having coined the name of digitalis instead of Fuchs.⁶

¹Read before the Tennessee Academy of Science at the Nashville meeting, November 26, 1933.

²Schweiz, 1915, *Apoth. Ztg.*, vol. 53: 1915, 233.

³*Chem. and Drug.*, 77: 861, 1910.

⁴*Pharm. Jour.*, 79: 667, 1908.

⁵Fuchs, L., 1542, *De Hist. Stirp.*, p. 892.

⁶*Amer Jour. Pharm.*, 1913, 85: 216.

H. Boerhaave, in his *Historia plantarum* (1727), considered digitalis too acrid or poisonous for internal use, while C. Alston of Edinburgh in his *Index plantarum* (1740), states it to be "as efficacious as any drug the Indies produce."⁷ Kaspar Schwenckfelt, in his *Stirpium et fossilium Silesiæ catalogus* (1600), describes digitalis as a drug in which the decoction of the flower serves as a gargle and subdues inflammation and fever, while the leaves relieve bowel trouble. John Gerard's *Herball or Generall Historie of Plants* (1597)⁸ describes its use "to cut and consume flegme and humours, and to scour and cleanse the breast."

Between 1600 and the latter part of the eighteenth century, the works on domestic medicine as well as the English dispensaries gave passing attention to digitalis, the comments being largely repetitions of each other and copied from the earlier publications. The following is a list of some herbals that described digitalis as having no medicinal value or as a tonic:⁹

Lonitzer, A., 1630. *Kreuterbuch*, 11th ed.

Parkinson, J., 1640. *Theatrum Botanicum*. Comments on the abundant use of the drug by the Italians as it "selveth all sores."

Fischer, L., 1648. *Neues Kreuterbuch*.

Tabernaemontanus, J. T., 1687. *Neuvollkommen Kreuterbuch*.

Zwinger, T., 1696. *Theatrum Botanicum*.

Valentini, M. B., 1719. *Viridarium reformatum*.

W. Salmon, who was editor of the *Pharmacopœia Bateana* or *Bates' Dispensatory* (1706), gives a formula for *Aqua Digitalis* which, he claimed, possessed anti-asthmatic and expectorant properties.¹⁰ The London *Pharmacopœia* of 1650 and 1720 listed digitalis with the simples; it was dropped from the 1775 edition but was readmitted to the 1788 edition. The *Edinburgh Pharmacopœia* of 1735 made mention of digitalis, although it is reported not to have been in the *Edinburgh Pharmacopœia* before 1783.¹¹

While the drug was not receiving a great deal of attention from the physicians, nevertheless, it was found in the "volk's-medizin." M. de l'Obel, in 1618,¹² mentioned that the country people of Somersetshire employed a decoction for the cure of fever, but that its operation was exceedingly violent and that it was being employed chiefly in the treatment of epilepsy and external applications for scrofula and ulcers of the leg. In 1761, digitalis was rated as safe only for external use in the treatment of scrofulous sores; internally the action was too violent by stool and by vomit to be in favor with the physicians.¹³

⁷*Chem. and Drug.*, 1910, 77: 861.

⁸Gerard, J., 1597, *Gen. Hist. of Plants*, 789.

⁹Schweiz, 1915, *Apoth. Ztg.*, 53: 233.

¹⁰Salmon, W., 1706, *Pharm. Bateana*, p. 14.

¹¹Wootton, A., 1910, *Chronicles of Pharmacy*, v. 2, p. 109.

¹²Thompson, C. J., 1929, *Myst. and Art of the Apoth.*, p. 243.

¹³Lewis, W., 1761, *Exper. Hist. of Materia Medica*, p. 248.

Digitalis was introduced to the modern medical world by Dr. William Withering, a noted physician of Birmingham, England, about 1785, after having made clinical observations on its use over a ten-year period. Withering was a painstaking botanist and mineralogist as well as a physician, and was intimately associated with such scientists as Priestly and Watt. In botany, his name lives in the genus *Witheringia* of the *Solanaceæ*; in mineralogy he is perpetuated by having had native barium carbonate named Witherite after him.¹⁴

It was brought to Withering's attention that a woman in Shropshire was curing people suffering from dropsy. He called on this woman and found that she had one of those secret family remedies which had been handed down through several generations. With it, this woman was effecting cures where many of the physicians had given up the case as hopeless. Withering obtained some of the powder from her, and upon examination found it to consist of some twenty or more herbs. He relates in his *An Account of the Foxglove* that it was not very difficult to reach the conclusion that of this mixture, the only merited herb was foxglove.¹⁵

Withering, in his studies, used the infusion made from the leaves; petioles and heavy midribs were not used. The leaves were collected and rapidly dried either in direct sunlight or by a hearth fire. The infusion as he used it does not conform to the present day conception of an infusion but rather a mild or weak tincture, since it was prepared by macerating the leaf and adding an aromatic spirit to the water.¹⁶ Withering was the first to note the diuretic action of the drug as well as its action upon the heart.

Shortly after the Shropshire incident, a lady from west of Yorkshire brought Withering another family recipe which also contained foxglove and which was used by the country people as a tea for the cure of dropsy.

Originally the root and leaves were used. The roots proved useful in the treatment of dropsy as was indicated in the case of Dr. Cawley, principal of Brazen Nose College, Oxford, who was a close friend to Withering.¹⁷ The use of the root, however, was soon dropped, owing to its variability.¹⁸ It is believed that the early usage of the root was a factor in formulating the definition of the drug in the various earlier pharmacopœias in which the leaves of the second-year plant are recognized. The root of the first-year plant is very small and sappy, while the root of the second-year plant is much larger and heavier, and has a more pronounced quality. Withering confined himself to the use of the leaf only, and commented on the variability of the quality of leaves gathered at different seasons of the year.

¹⁴Wootton, A., 1910, *Chronicles of Pharmacy*, v. 2, p. 109.

¹⁵Withering, W., 1785, *An Account of the Foxglove*.

¹⁶Monroe, D., 1788, *Treat on Med. and Pharm. Chym.*, p. 91.

¹⁷Withering, W., 1785, *An Account of the Foxglove*.

¹⁸*Amer. Jour. Pharm.*, 85: 218, 1913.

Withering's success with digitalis therapy received much publicity and soon the physicians throughout the land were using this newly discovered drug in all sorts of cases. This fact led Withering to remark that in spite of the good qualities of this drug, the indiscriminate use by practitioners and the administration of dangerous doses would result in dropping the drug from the Edinburgh Pharmacopœia.

Dr. Justus Anderson of Christiania, in a literature study which he published in *Tidskrift for Kemi, Farmaci, og Terapi*,¹⁹ states that digitalis was used only rarely in the earlier times and then for entirely different indications than at the present time.

¹⁹Schweiz, 1915, *Apoth. Ztg.*, 53: 233.

EDITORIAL

OUR ADVERTISERS

The advertisers who have stood by the Tennessee Academy of Science by placing their advertisements in our Journal during the last few trying years are worthy of the support of our members. They have helped maintain the size, excellent illustrations, and quality of your Journal. Every single one of our Journal advertisers has a reputation among scientists for integrity, quality of merchandise, and reasonable price.

Your Editor in his capacity as head of the Department of Biology, George Peabody College for Teachers, has purchased and used in his laboratory merchandise from most of these firms. Almost all of our microscopes, both binocular and compound, are from Bausch and Lomb as are most of our microtomes and projection lanterns. It is needless to say that their products are always of the very highest grade and give excellent service. We use consistently the Gold Seal cover glasses from Clay-Adams Co. They are unexcelled. From this same company we have also secured many excellent biological charts, models, and other fine biological supplies. Most of this material, as well as that of Bausch and Lomb, has been purchased through the Nashville Surgical Supply Co. Most of our members will do well to let this company handle their orders for scientific apparatus, supplies, and chemicals. They can furnish almost everything needed for the scientific laboratory at the same price as the large eastern and northern dealers and can save all or most of the freight or express charges. For many years we have purchased preserved biological specimens, prepared microscopic slides, and other biological supplies from the General Biological Supply House, Chicago. They furnish products of excellent quality and besides will be glad to help you with your problems. They publish a series of very excellent free bulletins (you pay postage only) of very great value to all biologists. They will be glad for you to write for a list of those available. The

(Continued on page 423)